

Nokia Corporation Docket No.:

Harrington & Smith, LLP Docket No.: 884A.0036.U1(US)

Application for United States Letters Patent by:

Hongyuan CHEN

Leping HUANG

**A METHOD FOR REDUCING RADIO
INTERFERENCE IN A FREQUENCY-HOPPING
RADIO NETWORK**

TITLE

A method for reducing radio interference in a frequency-hopping radio network.

5

TECHNICAL FIELD

Some embodiments of the invention relate to a method for reducing interference in a frequency-hopping radio network. Other embodiments of the invention relate to a method for controlling a Master of a frequency-hopping radio network, to reduce radio interference.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Bluetooth (trademark) is a low power radio frequency (LPRF) packet communications technology. Bluetooth enabled devices can create ad-hoc wireless networks (piconets) via short-range radio frequency hopping spread spectrum (FHSS) communication links in the 2.4 GHz frequency spectrum. These links may be of the order of 10m.

20

A piconet is controlled by a Master and can contain up to seven Slaves. The piconet has a star-topology with the Master as the central node and the Slaves as dependent nodes. The timing of the piconet is controlled by the Master and the Slaves synchronize their Bluetooth clocks to the Bluetooth clock of the Master.

25

All communications within the piconet include the Master. A Slave cannot communicate directly with another Slave in the piconet, but instead communicates with the Master which then communicates with the other Slave.

30

The communications within the piconet are time divided into slots of 625 microsecond duration. Communications from the Master may only commence in even numbered time slots and communications from the
5 Slaves may only commence in odd numbered time slots.

The frequency at which a communication is made is dependent upon the time slot at which it begins. The Master defines a frequency hopping sequence (FHS) that all the devices in a piconet share. The sequence is
10 derived from the Bluetooth address of the Master. The frequency used in the piconet hops every 2 slots (1250 microseconds) in phase with the Master Bluetooth clock sequentially along the FHS.

The Master creates a piconet by paging its slaves one by one. All
15 Bluetooth devices that receive the paging, by page-scan, synchronize their Bluetooth clocks to that of the Master and communicate with the Master using the Master's Bluetooth Device address as the packet Access Code.

20 Piconets may overlap, so a Slave in one piconet can be a Master or Slave in an adjacent piconet. A collection of interconnected piconets is called a scatternet. The Bluetooth device that is common to two piconets, the interconnecting node, is able to route packets from one piconet to the connected piconet.

25

A scatternet may be desirable if there are more than eight devices which need to be interconnected or where the physical spread of devices is greater than the radio communication range of a single device.

As Bluetooth devices become more common, it becomes more likely that a transmitting device will be within communication range of another device that is simultaneously transmitting at the same frequency. In this situation the transmissions 'collide' and interfere with each other. This is disadvantageous as it requires retransmissions by both devices, which consumes more power and bandwidth.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to one embodiment of the invention there is provided a method for reducing interference between a first frequency-hopping radio communications network and a second frequency-hopping radio communications network, comprising: predicting a possible collision between a transmission at a first frequency in the first frequency-hopping radio communication network and a transmission at the first frequency in the second frequency-hopping radio communication network; and controlling transmission in one of the first frequency-hopping radio communications network and the second frequency-hopping radio communications network to avoid the collision.

20

According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided a method for reducing interference between a first frequency-hopping radio communications network and a second frequency-hopping radio communications network, comprising at a Master of the first frequency-hopping radio communications network: predicting a possible collision between a packet to be transmitted at a first time at a first frequency in the first frequency-hopping radio communication network and a transmission at the first frequency in the second frequency-hopping radio communication network; and controlling transmission in the first frequency-hopping radio communications network to avoid the collision.

30

According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided a method for reducing interference in a first piconet, comprising: calculating whether one or more of the future transmissions within the first piconet can collide with transmissions within piconets neighboring the first piconet; and determining whether to modify a future transmission within the first piconet.

According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided a method for controlling the operation of a Master transceiver of a first frequency-hopping radio communications network, comprising: determining the duration for which transmissions at a single frequency can occur in the first frequency-hopping network without a potential collision with transmissions at that frequency in neighboring frequency-hopping networks; and controlling multi-slot communication in the first frequency-hopping radio communications network in dependence upon the determination.

According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided a method for controlling the operation of a Master transceiver of a first frequency-hopping radio communications network, comprising: determining when a future modification to a transmission from the Master transceiver is required; and controlling multi-slot communication in the first frequency-hopping radio communications network in dependence upon the determination.

Embodiments of the invention provide for less interference, lower power consumption and improved data throughput.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

For a better understanding of the present invention reference will now be made by way of example only to the accompanying drawings in which:

Fig. 1 illustrates an example of a Bluetooth (trademark) scatternet;

5 Fig. 2 illustrates a process that occurs at Master Mo for controlling single-slot radio access;

Fig. 3 illustrates a process that occurs at Master Mo for controlling multiple-slot radio access; and

10 Fig 4 illustrates the transmission in three piconets, each of which use the access procedure illustrated in Fig. 3.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENT(S) OF THE INVENTION

Fig. 1 illustrates an example of a Bluetooth (trademark) scatternet 10.

15 The scatternet is a distributed LPRF network that, in this example, comprises two separate piconets that are interconnected by a common node. Each piconet has a star-topology comprising a central Master node and a plurality of dependent Slave nodes and forms a sub-network of the scatternet 10.

20

A first piconet 12 is controlled by the Master M1 and includes seven Slaves S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6 and S7. A second piconet 14 is controlled by the Master M2 and includes three Slaves S5, S8 and S9. The Slave S5 is a common node interconnecting the first piconet 12
25 with the second piconet 14.

Each of the Masters and Slaves is a Bluetooth-enabled device. Such a device may operate as a Master or a Slave depending upon circumstances. The Bluetooth devices may be mobile.

30

Each of the Masters M1 and M2 collect frequency-hopping information about their neighboring piconets. This frequency hopping information includes the Bluetooth addresses of the Masters of the neighboring piconets and the clock offsets of the Masters of the neighboring piconets.

5

In this example, the first piconet 12 controlled by Master M1 has a single neighboring piconet, the second piconet 14 controlled by Master M2. The Master M1 uses the Bluetooth address of the Master M2 to emulate the frequency hopping sequence (FHS) of the second piconet 14 and uses the
10 clock offset of the Master M2 to determine the Bluetooth clock value of the second piconet 14. This clock value determines the phase of the FHS in the second piconet 14, thus allowing the Master M1 to determine when the Master M2 can transmit and with what frequency.

15 Likewise, the second piconet 14 controlled by Master M2 has a single neighboring piconet, the first piconet 12 controlled by Master M1. The Master M2 uses the Bluetooth address of the Master M1 to emulate the frequency hopping sequence (FHS) of the first piconet 12 and uses the clock offset of the Master M1 to determine the Bluetooth clock value of the first piconet 12.
20 This clock value determines the phase of the FHS in the first piconet 12, thus allowing the Master M1 to determine when the Master M2 can transmit and with what frequency.

25 The Bluetooth addresses and clock offsets of neighboring Masters can be obtained in a variety of different ways.

According to one embodiment, neighboring Masters are Masters that control directly interconnected piconets in the same scatternet. In this scenario, the Masters will either be directly connected or indirectly connected via a single
30 interconnecting Slave. A Master broadcasts a request to each of the Slaves in the piconet it controls. If a Slave that receives the request is connected to another Master, it replies with the Bluetooth address of that Master

and the clock value or offset for that Master. If a Slave that receives the request also operates as a Master in a different piconet, it replies either with an indication of this or with its Bluetooth address and clock value or offset. An indication is possible as a reply, as the requesting Master
5 should already store the Bluetooth address and the clock value or offset for its Slaves.

According to another embodiment, neighboring Masters are Masters that control indirectly interconnected piconets in the same scatternet. In this
10 scenario, the piconets do not have a common interconnecting node. A Master may obtain the Bluetooth addresses and the clock values or offsets of all active Bluetooth devices within radio communication range of itself by performing an Inquiry. A Master may obtain the Bluetooth addresses and the clock values or offsets of all active Bluetooth devices within radio
15 communication range of its Slaves by instructing each of them to perform separately an Inquiry and return the results to the Master. The Master may in this way identify all the neighboring Bluetooth devices that may interfere with it and with its Slaves. The Master then determines which of these neighboring Bluetooth devices are operating as Masters in the scatternet. This may be
20 achieved by sending a request message into the scatternet. Each node the request message passes through adds its address to a history contained in the message and forwards it on to the next node. A node, if it is operating as a Master, additionally sends a reply message back to the Master. The history is used to route the reply message back. The reply message includes the
25 Bluetooth address of the Master. Thus neighboring Masters can be identified within the neighboring devices and their Bluetooth addresses and clock values used.

According to another embodiment, neighboring Masters are Masters that
30 control piconets that are within radio communication range whether or not they are in the same scatternet. A Master may identify all the neighboring Bluetooth devices that may interfere with it and with its Slaves by using an

adapted Inquiry procedure. In the normal Inquiry procedure, devices that are within range respond with their Bluetooth address and Bluetooth clock value. In the adapted Inquiry procedure, the devices that are currently operating as Masters additionally indicate this in their response. A Master may obtain the Bluetooth addresses and the clock values or offsets of all active Bluetooth Masters with radio communication range of itself by performing an adapted Inquiry. The Master may obtain the Bluetooth addresses and the clock values or offsets of all active Bluetooth Masters with radio communication range of its Slaves by instructing each of them to perform separately an adapted Inquiry and return the results to the Master.

The Bluetooth addresses and Bluetooth clock offsets of neighboring Masters are used to modify the Master access procedure and thus avoid transmissions in neighboring piconets colliding.

One slot transmission

For ease of explanation, the inventive process will be described for a specific scenario in which transmissions may only be of one slot duration. The process for the general scenario of longer multi-slot transmissions will be described later.

As a transmission may only be of one slot duration and since a Slave uses the same frequency for transmission in the odd slot following the even slot in which the Master addressed the Slave, the minimum duration that a frequency is used for is two slots (1250 microseconds). Thus the frequency hops at every even time-slot if only one-slot transmission is used.

Let us consider the Master M_0 , which controls the piconet P_0 . Let there be N neighboring piconets P_i (where $i=1, 2..N.$). Each piconet P_i is

controlled by a Master M_i . The Master M_o has, for each Master M_i , that Master's Bluetooth address $BD_ADDR(i)$ and Bluetooth Clock value $CLK(i)$.

- 5 The process that occurs at Master M_o for controlling radio access by M_o is illustrated in Fig. 2.

At step 20 the Master M_o calculates $f_o(k)$, which is the frequency used in P_o for the k th even time slot. This frequency would in the absence of the invention be used for transmission in P_o at the time slots $2k$ and $2k+1$.

Master M_o additionally calculates the frequencies that could be used simultaneously with the time slots $2k$ and $2k+1$ of P_o in all neighboring piconets. To do this, the Master M_o uses each $BD_ADDR(i)$ to calculate the FHS for each piconet P_i and uses $CLK(i)$ to determine the phase within the sequence for each piconet P_i .

The Master M_o is therefore able to calculate $f_i(k)$, $f_i(k-1)$, and $f_i(k+1)$ for $i=1, 2..N$. $f_i(k)$ is the frequency used in P_i for the k th even time slot, i.e. for time slots $2k$ and $2k+1$. $f_i(k-1)$ is the frequency used in P_i for the $k-1$ th even time slot i.e. for time slots $2k-2$ and $2k-1$. $f_i(k+1)$ is the frequency used in P_i for the $k+1$ th even time slot i.e. for time slots $2k+1$, $2k+2$.

The Master then compares $f_o(k)$ with $f_i(k-1)$, $f_i(k)$, $f_i(k+1)$, for $i=1, 2..N$. It is necessary to make a comparison with $f_i(k-1)$ and $f_i(k+1)$ because piconets are not synchronized to each other and slots in different piconets may partially overlap.

At step 22, if $f_o(k) = f_i(k-1)$, or if $f_o(k) = f_i(k)$ or if $f_o(k) = f_i(k+1)$, then a collision can occur.

5 If a collision can occur between the piconet P_o and, say the piconet P_m then one of the piconets transmits as normal where the collision may occur and transmissions in the other piconet are modified where the collision may occur. The process moves to step 24.

If a collision cannot occur the process moves to step 28.

10

At step 24, a decision must be made as to whether the piconet P_o should transmit as normal or should have its transmissions modified. The decision process occurs without communication between the Master M_o and the Master M_m . One way of making the decision is on
15 the basis of the $BD_ADDR(i)$ and $BD_ADDR(m)$. If the BD_ADDR of the local device, i.e. $BD_ADDR(o)$, is greater than the BD_ADDR of the remote device, i.e. $BD_ADDR(m)$, then the transmissions in the piconet P_o are modified and the transmission in the piconet P_m are unmodified. If BD_ADDR_o is less than $BD_ADDR(m)$, then the transmissions in the
20 piconet P_o for slots are unmodified, and transmissions in the piconet P_m are modified. Thus if $BD_ADDR(o) > BD_ADDR(m)$ then the process moves to step 26, otherwise it moves to step 28.

At step 28, the Master M_o transmits as normal, that is with a frequency
25 $f_o(k)$ at the slot $2k$.

At step 26, the transmissions in the piconet P_o are modified. The modification may prevent the Master M_o transmitting in the slot $2k$, which prevents the Slaves transmitting in the next slot $2k+1$. This
30 creates a quiet period of two slots in the piconet P_o .

Alternatively, the transmissions in the piconet P_o may be modified by adapting the frequency at which the Master M_o transmits and therefore also the frequency at which the Slave transmits in reply. For this

5 embodiment, the Master M_o must send the Bluetooth addresses and clock offsets of the Masters that neighbor M_o to the Slaves of P_o which also calculate when the transmissions in the piconet P_o can collide with transmissions of a neighboring piconet. There must also be a common

10 algorithm that is used to select the modified frequency f' in the Master M_o and in the Slaves of the piconet P_o . The modified frequency will be such that $f_o'(k)$ is not equal to $f_i(k-1)$, $f_i(k)$ or $f_i(k+1)$, for $i=1,2 \dots N$.

It should be appreciated that step 24 may precede step 20 and step 22 may directly precede step 26. In this case, steps 20 and 22 are

15 performed only for the sub-set of piconets P_i , for which $BD_ADDR(i) < BD_ADDR(o)$.

Multi-slot Transmission

20 Let us now consider the access procedure when multi-slot transmissions are possible. The Master M_o controls the piconet P_o , which has N neighboring piconets P_i , where $i=1, 2 \dots N$. Each piconet P_i is controlled by a Master M_i . The Master M_o has, for each Master M_i , that Master's Bluetooth address $BD_ADDR(i)$ and Bluetooth Clock

25 value $CLK(i)$.

The process that occurs at Master M_o for controlling radio access by M_o is illustrated in Fig. 3.

At step 30 the Master Mo calculates $f_o(k)$ the frequency used in Po for the k th even time slot. This frequency would in the absence of the invention be used for transmission in Po at least the time slots $2k$ and $2k+1$.

5

Master Mo additionally calculates the frequencies that could be used simultaneously with the time slots $2k$ and $2k+1$ of Po in all neighboring piconets. To do this, the Master Mo uses each $BD_ADDR(i)$ to calculate the FHS for each piconet P_i and uses $CLK(i)$ to determine the phase within the sequence for each piconet P_i .

10

The Master Mo is therefore able to calculate $f_i(k), f_i(k-1), f_i(k+1)$ for each piconet P_i . $f_i(k)$ is the frequency used in P_i for the k th even time slot, i.e. for at least the time slots $2k$ and $2k+1$. $f_i(k-1)$ is the frequency used in P_i for the $k-1$ th even time slot i.e. for at least the time slots $2k-2$ and $2k-1$. $f_i(k+1)$ is the frequency used in P_i for the $k+1$ th even time slot i.e. for at least the time slots $2k+1, 2k+2$.

15

The Master then compares $f_o(k)$ with $f_i(k-1), f_i(k), f_i(k+1)$, for $i = 1, 2..N$. It is necessary to make a comparison with $f_i(k-1)$ and $f_i(k+1)$ because piconets are not synchronized and slots in different piconets may partially overlap.

20

At step 32, if $f_o(k) = f_i(k-1)$, or if $f_o(k) = f_i(k)$ or if $f_o(k) = f_i(k+1)$, for any one of $i=1, 2..N$, then a collision can occur and the process moves to step 34. If a collision cannot occur then the process moves to step 60.

25

If a collision can occur between the piconet Po and, say the piconet Pm

then one of the piconets transmits as normal where the collision may occur whereas transmissions in the other piconet are modified where the collision may occur.

- 5 At step 34, a decision must be made as to whether the piconet P_o should transmit as normal or should have its transmissions modified. The decision process occurs without communication between the Master M_o and the Master M_m . One way of making the decision is on the basis of the $BD_ADDR(o)$ and $BD_ADDR(m)$. If $BD_ADDR(o)$ is
 10 greater than $BD_ADDR(m)$, then the process moves to step 36. If $BD_ADDR(o)$ is less than $BD_ADDR(m)$, then the process moves to step 60.

- At step 36, transmissions in the piconet P_o are modified for slots $2k$ and
 15 $2k+1$ and the transmission in the piconet P_m are unmodified. The transmissions in the piconet P_o may be modified by preventing the Master M_o transmitting in the slot $2k$, which prevents the Slaves transmitting in the next slot $2k+1$.

- 20 Alternatively, the transmissions in the piconet P_o , may be modified by modifying the frequency at which the Master M_o transmits and the Slave transmits in reply. For this embodiment, the Master M_o must send the Bluetooth addresses and clock offsets of the Masters that are neighbors of the Master M_o to the Slaves of P_o . The Slaves of P_o also calculate when
 25 the transmissions in the piconet P_o can collide with transmissions of a neighboring piconet. There must also be a common algorithm that is used to select the modified frequency f' in the Master M_o and in the Slaves of the piconet P_o . The modified frequency will be such that $f_o'(k)$ is not equal to $f_i(k-1)$, $f_i(k)$ or $f_i(k+1)$, for $i=1,2 \dots N$.

At step 60, it is determined whether multi-slot packets are supported in the piconet P_o . If multi-slot packets are supported the process moves to step 62. If multi-slot packets are not supported the process moves to step 64.

5

At step 62, the Master M_o calculates a maximum *duration* for collision free transmissions at frequency f_o in piconet P_o starting with the slot $2k$. The following algorithm may be used to calculate the *duration*.

10 If $f_o(k) = f_i(k+2)$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, N$, then the *duration* = 2 slots
 else
 If $f_o(k) = f_i(k+3)$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, N$, then the *duration* = 4 slots
 else
 If $f_o(k) = f_i(k+4)$, for $i=1, 2, \dots, N$, then the *duration* = 6 slots
 15 else
 If $f_o(k) = f_i(k+5)$, for $i=1, 2, \dots, N$, then the *duration* = 8 slots
 else
duration is unconstrained

20 If a collision can occur between a multi-slot transmission in the piconet P_o and, say the piconet P_m then one of the piconets transmits as normal where the collision may occur whereas transmissions in the other piconet are modified where the collision may occur.

25 A decision must be made as to whether the piconet P_o should transmit as normal or should have its transmissions modified. The decision process occurs without communication between the Master M_o and the Master M_m . One way of making the decision is on the basis of the $BD_ADDR(o)$ and $BD_ADDR(m)$. If $BD_ADDR(o)$ is greater than
 30 $BD_ADDR(m)$, then the piconet P_o can transmit at frequency $f_o(k)$ for a

maximum of *duration* slots. If $BD_ADDR(o)$ is less than $BD_ADDR(m)$, then the Master M_o can transmit at frequency $f_o(k)$ for any duration. The process then moves to step 64.

- 5 At step 64, the Master M_o sends an indication to the Slave, in slot $2k$, that informs it whether it should restrict to a single, three-slot or five-slot packet in the following slave to master transmission.

- 10 A slave that receives a packet with $FLOW=0$ will not send a data packet to the Master M_o , otherwise it can send any type of packets agreed with Master M_o .

- 15 If a 1-slot only packet between Master and Slave is supported, then the packet transmitted by the Master has a size and $FLOW$ value according to the following table:

Duration	Packets that can be transmitted by Master	FLOW value in transmitted packet
2	1-slot	1
4	1-slot	1
6	1-slot	1
8	1-slot	1
10+	1-slot	1

- 20 If 1-slot and 3-slot packets between Master and Slave are supported, then the packet transmitted by the Master has a size and $FLOW$ value according to the following table:

Duration	Packets that can be transmitted by Master	FLOW value in transmitted packet
2	1-slot	0
4	1-slot	1
	3-slot	0
6	1-slot	1
	3-slot	1
8	1-slot	1
	3-slot	1
10+	1-slot	1
	3-slot	1

If 1-slot, 3-slot and 5-slot packets between Master and Slave are supported, then the packet transmitted by the Master has a size and

5 FLOW value according to the following table:

Duration	Packets that can be transmitted by Master	FLOW value in transmitted packet
2	1-slot	0
4	1-slot	0
	3-slot	0
6	1-slot	1
	3-slot	0
	5-slot	0
8	1-slot	1
	3-slot	1
	5-slot	0
10+	1-slot	1

	3-slot	1
	5-slot	1

Fig 4 illustrates the results of the above described access procedure for three neighboring piconets. In this access procedure if there is a potential collision, the Master with greater BD_ADDR does not transmit. This has the advantage that no modification is required to the Slaves for the new access procedure to work.

The Figure identifies the transmissions that occur within each of three neighboring piconets with the passage of time. It should be noted that the timing of the different piconets are not synchronized, that is, there is not inter-piconet synchronization. Master transmissions within a piconet are identified by 'M' if the transmission is for a single slot and by 'Master' if the transmission is for a consecutive series of slots at a single frequency. Slave transmissions within a piconet are identified by 'S' if the transmission is for a single slot and by 'Slave' if the transmission is for a consecutive series of slots at a single frequency.

The frequency of the Master transmissions are annotated on the Figure. The Slave transmission in the piconet will immediately follow a Master transmission in that piconet and will be at the same frequency as that Master transmission.

In the Figure, the BD_ADDR of piconet 3, is greater than the BD_ADDR of piconet 2, which is greater than the BD_ADDR of piconet 1. When there is a collision between two piconets, the piconet that has the Master with the greater BD_ADDR is quiet and does not transmit for two slots starting from the n th even slot at which the collision would happen.

Piconet 1 is never quiet as it has the Master with the lowest BD_ADDR..

Piconet 2 is quiet for the pair of slots starting with the $k+2$ th even slot, that is slots $2k+4$ and $2k+5$. This is because there would be a (part) collision between $f_2(k+2)$ and $f_1(k+3)$ as a result of lack of synchronization between the Piconet 1 and the Piconet 2. This quietness is achieved by preventing the Master of Piconet 2 transmitting in slot $2k+4$.

Although $f_1(k+3)$ would collide with $f_2(k+2)$, the Master in Piconet 1 starts a transmission at $k+3$ that lasts for six slots, because collision is avoided between $f_1(k+3)$ and $f_2(k+2)$ by the quietness of the Piconet 2.

Piconet 3 is quiet for the pair of slots starting with the $k+8$ th even slot, that is slots $2k+18$ and $2k+19$. This is because there is a collision between $f_3(k+8)$ and $f_2(k+8)$. This quietness is achieved by preventing the Master of Piconet 3 transmitting in slot $2k+18$.

Piconet 3 is quiet for the pair of slots starting with the $k+11$ th even slot, that is slots $2k+22$ and $2k+23$. This is because there is a collision between $f_3(k+11)$ and $f_1(k+11)$. This quietness is achieved by preventing the Master of Piconet 3 transmitting in slot $2k+22$.

The avoidance of collisions by making one of the potentially colliding piconets quiet has the advantage that it does not require the Slaves to operate in a new way, only the Masters must operate in a new way. However, potential bandwidth is lost because of the unused slots during the quietness.

The avoidance of collisions by making one of the potentially colliding piconets adapt its frequency has the advantage that optimal bandwidth is maintained as quiet periods are avoided. However, it requires that the Slaves are capable of predicting collisions between piconets and the communication of information from the Master to the Slaves to allow them to do this.

Although embodiments of the present invention have been described in the preceding paragraphs with reference to various examples, it should be appreciated that modifications to the examples given can be made without departing from the scope of the invention as claimed.